Alercon as a tool for allergists to improve the efficiency of immunotherapy in polysensitised pollinosis patients







Javier Subiza

AlerCon[®]: Conceptual Idea

Tools for assessing immunotherapy:



Alercon, a programme which automatically unifies **diary symptom score pollen counts and skin test** in order to try to improve the efficiency of immunotherapy in polysensitised pollinosis patients

Prevalence of pollen pollysensitization

within pollinosis patients in 13 Spanish cities



Subiza J. Pola J, Feo F, Moral A, Bartra J. Pollinosis 2003. Aerobiological Committee of the SEAEIC

Problem

Extracts with multiple allergens in polysensitized patients Adkinson NEJM1997; 336: 324



Immunotherapy efficacy

Example of skin prick tests on a pollinosis patient in Madrid

Immunotherapy? What can I do?

This is an Example of a typical pollinosis patient in Madrid: A 25 year old man with multiseasonal Rhinoconjunctivitis and showing a positive skin prick test to 5 different families of pollen

The question isWhat can I do?....because I can't prescribe 5 different vaccines; this is expensive, uncomfortable and most importantly, for some of them probably inefficient.

However the situation is not as bad as we might think, because normally only one or two of theses pollen types, contribute to the majority of the pollinosis symptoms. We name this pollen the "**DOMINANT POLLEN**", and we know this can vary between patients

For these reasons, when we observe a patient like this, firstly we try to detect the dominant pollen and secondly we try to perform a immunotherapy only with this pollen

Example of skin prick tests on a pollinosis patient in Madrid

Immunotherapy?



What can I do?

Approaches to find the DOMINANT POLLEN

- 1. Perform Nasal provocations with pollen extracts?
- 2. Detect sensitization to recombinant allergens?
- 3. Using pollen counts and symptoms diary cards

Approaches to find the DOMINANT POLLEN

Nasal Provocation

Subiza J, et al. Cluster immunotherapy... Clin Exp Allergy. 2008;38:987-94







In-Check Nasal inspiratory flow meter

a decrease in PNIF of 40% from postsaline

Serial dilutions of the allergen extract (206, 617, 1852, 16067 and 50000 BU/mL)



Allergen (0.2 mL/nostril) was then nebulized at increasing concentrations into each nostril (every 15 minutes with a DeVilbis atomizer





at least 0.5 g of nasal secretions (evaluated by weighing tissue



5 or more sneezes over a period of 10 minutes

Positive Nasal provocation based on the presence of at least 2 of the 3 criteria

Approaches to find the DOMINANT POLLEN

Nasal Provocation

Subiza J, et al. Cluster immunotherapy... Clin Exp Allergy. 2008;38:987-94



Approaches to find the DOMINANT POLLEN

Detect sensitization to recombinant allergen components

Phadia suggest, that if the polysensitised pollinosis patient shows a PSP to grass pollen, we can identify (using recombinant allergens) whether this grass sensitization is caused by

major (rPhl 1, 5) or minor (rPhl 7, 12) grass allergens



Using pollen counts and symptoms diary cards

A possible way to identify this dominant pollen is through the association of the results of:

Period of symptoms Period of pollination Skin tests

I would like to explain this last point, using these 3 clinical cases that we published in the Clin Exp Allergy many years ago. They are 3 patients which came to our Centre in 1992 because they suffered asthma symptoms during the spring time. As is typical in these patients, they couldn't specify the exact period of the year for the symptoms.

However, as all showed positive skin prick tests to grasses, and we know that grasses are the principal cause of seasonal asthma in our area, we prescribed them a grass immunotherapy

Additionaly, diary cards were kept daily by the patients from January 1993 until July 1993. Subiza et al. Seasonal asthma caused by airborne *Platanus* pollen. Clin Exp Allergy 1994;24:1123-9.

2M y 1F 41-56-46 years old. Positive skin prick tests to *Dactylis*



When, in July 1993, we could observe their diary cards, we could see with surprise that the asthmatic symptoms appeared in March and April instead of May–June, which is the period of the grass season in Madrid.

Furthermore we could observe that the asthma symptoms correlated very well with *Platanus* counts.

For this reason we made a *Platanus* pollen extract and we could obtain with it in the three patients a very strong response to the skin test and to the bronchial provocation.

Subiza et al. Seasonal asthma caused by airborne *Platanus* pollen. Clin Exp Allergy 1994;24:1123-9.

2M y 1F 41-56-46 years old. Positive skin prick tests to *Dactylis*



At that moment, these cases were very interesting to us because, until that moment, *Platanus* pollen was considered to have a very minor importance as a cause of pollinosis.

In following studies we could learn that at least in Madrid, and also in other cities in Spain, *Platanus* pollen is an important cause of pollinosis.

But more importantly we also learned that this correlation between symptoms and pollen counts is useful to differentiate clinical from subclinical sensitization in polysensitised pollinosis patients. Subiza et al. Seasonal asthma caused by airborne *Platanus* pollen. Clin Exp Allergy 1994;24:1123-9.

Subiza et al. Allergenic pollen and pollinosis in Madrid. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol 1995;96:15-23

Varela et al. Platanus pollen an important unrecognized cause of pollinosis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1997;100:748-754

Subiza et al. Pólenes alergénicos y polinosis en 12 ciudades españolas. Rev Esp Alergol Inmunol Clin 1998; 13: 45-58



Question

can we use the "dominant pollen" to perform a successful immunotherapy in polysensitised pollinosis patients?

Perhaps we can answer this question by returning to the 3 patients with a clinical sensitisation to Platanus and sub-clinical sensitisation to grasses.

In one of them, we prescribed a immunotherapy with *Platanus* pollen.

This was the only prophylactic treatment that we used in the patient.

Of course we also used the paper diary card to follow his asthmatic symptoms.





In this graph we can see the *Platanus* pollen counts threshold to produce asthma symptoms in the patient before and after 5 years of immunotherapy.

As you can see before the immunotherapy only 18 grains of *Platanus* counts were able to produce asthma symptoms.

On the contrary, in the following years this threshold kept increasing year by year to nearly 3000 Platanus counts after 4 years of immunotherapy

In 2001 we stopped the vaccination, and as you can see in 2000, 2001 and 2002 the patient did not show asthmatic symptoms in spite of the *Platanus* counts being over 1.000 grains/m³ of air

Platanus pollen counts threshold to produce asthma symptoms before and after 5 years of immunotherapy



Question

IDENTIFICATION OF DOMINANT POLLEN IN CLINICAL ROUTINE?

Alercon and PrickFilm are 2 software programs that introduce the pollen counts, daily symptoms and skin prick test results automatically, into a data base

LACK OF PATIENT TIME LACK OF MEDICAL TIME: 1) INTRODUCE DATA IN THE COMPUTER: POLLEN COUNTS DIARY SYMPTOMS SKIN PRICK TESTS 2) PERFORM STATISTICAL STUDIES



MII-HOLL

P

This device basically consists of 2 different layers. A paper layer that we use to perform the prick and a plastic layer that we use to perform the reading.



Firstly we stick the paper layer on the forearm thanks to a medical adhesive which is present in the corners.





Secondly, we put the different drops of allergen extracts and controls, in the small holes





Thirdly we perform the prick using a lancet for each drops to avoid cross contamination





Fourthly we remove the excess of extract with a drying paper



After 15 minutes, we stick a plastic layer that contains a medical adhesive over the paper layer



Wait 15 minutes for



Then with a black pen, we draw the outlines of the different hives





We remove the plastic layer from the forearm





reading results

immediatelly

indicating the type of battery

2

in the first column, you can observe the different allergen extracts that we tested and the controls.

In the second column you can see the exact area of the hives expressed in mm²

Additionally, the software program, following the recommendation of the European Academy of Allergy, calculates the results in a graduation from 0 to 4+

| Figebnisse Scannen | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------|-------|----|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ld | Allergen-Set Standar20 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Allergen | Area | Grade | | Allergen | Area | Grade | | | | |
| A1 | Hafer, Saat- | 25 | 3+ | B5 | Meerschweinchen | 0 | - | | | | |
| A2 | Mais | 0 | - | B6 | Kaninchen 😽 | 31 | 4+ | | | | |
| A3 | Roggen | 46 | 4+ | B7 | Hund | 33 | 4+ | | | | |
| A4 | Hafer, Gold- | 0 | - | B8 | Katze | 40 | 4+ | | | | |
| A5 | D. pteronyssinus | 0 | - | + | Histamin | 18 | | | | | |
| A6 | Acarus siro | 33 | 4+ | - | Kontrolle Negativ | 5 | | | | | |
| A7 | Tyrophagus putrescentiae | 0 | - | | | | | | | | |
| A8 | Entenfedern | 0 | - | | Allergen area is sub | otracted | from | | | | |
| A9 | Gänsefedern | 40 | 4+ | | Allergen area is subtracted from saline = HA | | | | | | |
| A10 | Wellensittich | 0 | - | | | | | | | | |
| A11 | Hühnerfedern | 0 | - | | | | | | | | |
| A12 | Schwein | 40 | 4+ | | Compare AA with H | A accor | dina to | | | | |
| B1 | Schaf | 41 | 4+ | | the following gradu | ation | ung to | | | | |
| B2 | Rind | 14 | 2+ | | - = negative | | | | | | |
| B3 | Pferd | 25 | 3+ | | 1 + = 25% of L | НА | | | | | |
| B4 | Hamster | 7 | - | | $2 \pm -50\%$ of | нΔ | | | | | |
| < | | | | | 3 = 100% of | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 4+=200%01 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | I) Dreborg, ed. Skin tests used in type I Allergy testing. Desition paper | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Alleray 1989.44 (S | ig. Fusiti Junni 10 | 011 papel 1·1-59 | | | | |

ERGEBNIS ZENTRUM

X





But the most important thing, is the fact that all the skin prick test results are now stored in a data base that we can use with the Alercon program to perform the correlations with the symptoms.





HOW CAN WE AUTOMATIZE THE DIARY SYMPTOM SCORE?

Alercon Electronic Diary Card



...using an Electronic Diary Card. This is in reality a simple program that we generate from the Alercon and is automatically sent to the patient by email. When the patient receives this email in their PC, the program is automatically installed in their computer.....

Alercon Electronic Diary Card



...from this moment, whenever the patient starts the computer, a calendar appears, with a notice indicating that the patient needs to fill in the electronic diary card...



Patient compliance Alercon Diary Card *versus* Paper Diary Card



Paper

Patient compliance has been a traditional problem using the paper diary card. On the contrary when using the Electronic diary Card we could see that the percentage of compliance is as high as 75%. In spite of the fact that patients must fill in the diary card for many months.

n=146 n=40 From January to December 2007

CLINICAL CASE USING ALERCON

Patient 1 RC Symptoms:

A 22 year old man multi-seasonal

| <i>Cupressus arizonica</i> nCup a 1 | SPT 4+ | CAP (kU/L) 1.34 9.13 | Nasal Provocation Positive (1.852 BU/mL) | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| <i>Phleum pratense</i> rPhl p 1 | 3+ | 0.53 0.81 | Positive (16.677BU/mL) | | | | | |
| <i>Olea europaea</i> nOle e 1 | 3+ | 1.92 0.86 | Positive(206 BU/mL) | | | | | |
| Chenopodium album | 2+ | nd | non done | | | | | |

🛄 AlerCon 2.0 - Despacho3

Graphs Reports Utils Help Exit



Alercon







Lindate screen = CTRL + Left click mouse

Return to providue ecroenPight click mayse + Maye mayse to the left



Clinical case using Alercon (1)

| 🖩 Graphs window - History nº: 50791 - SIMON SANCHEZ ROMAN | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|--|----------------|------|-------|----------|------------|-------|-------|---------|------|------|
| 803 | | Linear regressions | | | | | | | | | | 3.00 | |
| | | I | | | | | | | | | Î | | |
| | | [| | Pollen | % | r | р | Area | Grade | May.Ø | Orth. Ø | | 2.63 |
| | | | | Cupress/Taxacı | 20.5 | 0.28 | P<=0.001 | 21 | 4+ | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | | | Olea | 2.1 | -0.05 | P>0.05 | 17 | 3+ | 0 | 0 | - 11 | |
| 602 | | | | Poaceae | 8.1 | -0.06 | P>0.05 | <u> </u> / | 3+ | | | ┥_╽╽ | 2.25 |
| | | L | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4(2(| there were only 3 different types of pollen, <i>Cupressus Olea</i> , and grasses In the second column, we observe the atmospheric porcentual contribution of each pollen type in total pollen, during this period. In the third and fourth column, we can see the correlation coefficient between symptoms and each type of pollen and the significant. Finally, in the last columns we have the results of the skin prick tests | | | | | | | | | | | | |

AlerCon 2.0

100

Clinical case using Alercon (1)



AlerCon 2.0

You can see that the Symptoms only showed a significant correlation with *Cupressus* but not with *Olea* and grasses counts in spite of the positivity in the skin prick tests

Clinical case using Alercon (1)



AlerCon 2.0

In this polysensitised patient, *Cupressus* is his dominant pollen, and we think he is a good candidate to try an immunotherapy only with this pollen.

And we could obtain this knowledge in a very easy way, thanks to Alercon.

Thank you for your attention